

Evaluation of the training conducted by prof. dr Neven Andjelic from Regents University

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade
		1	2	3	4	5		
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:	1	0	0	3	14	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	4.61
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:	0	0	3	4	11	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.44
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issues you are interested in?	0	0	1	2	15	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.78
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?	1	0	0	3	14	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	4.61
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?	0	0	1	8	9	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	4.44
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics prior to participation in this training?	0	0	1	4	12	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	4.67
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. and teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries via trainings?	16	2	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	88.89% 11.11%
Q8.	Exposure to this training will trigger the changes on your course?	13	5	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	72.22% 27.78%
Q9.	What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IR topics • Current affairs • International relations topics 						
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments 						



