

Evaluation of study visit to Europa Institute - Saarland University

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade
		1	2	3	4	5		
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in the study visit were:	0	0	0	2	7	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	4.77
Q2.	The relevancy of places visited in relation to study visit objectives was:	0	0	0	1	8	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.89
Q3.	To what extent do you find study visit topics relevant to topics you are interested in?	0	0	1	2	6	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.56
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in study tour?	0	0	0	0	9	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	5.00
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics and accommodation of the program?	0	0	0	0	9	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	5.00
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics covered during the lectures during this study visit?	0	1	1	2	5	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	4.22
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries?	9	0	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100%
Q8.	Exposure to respective individual syllabuses and teaching methodologies during study visit will trigger the changes on your course?	9	0	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100%
Q9.	What are the issues to be subject of the future cooperation between your Faculty of Law UoM, and Europa Institute – Saarland University?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher and student mobility • Staff mobility • Joint master studies • International judicial cooperation • Private law issues • Environmental law issues 						
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent overall • Best organized study visit in my experience (so far) 						



