

Evaluation of study visit to Regents University in London

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade
		1	2	3	4	5		
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in the study visit were:	0	0	0	1	12	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	4.92
Q2.	The relevancy of places visited in relation to study visit objectives was:	0	0	0	1	12	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.92
Q3.	To what extent do you find study visit topics relevant to topics you are interested in?	0	0	0	1	12	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.92
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in study tour?	0	0	0	1	12	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	4.91
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics and accommodation of the program?	5	2	0	1	5	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	3.17
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics covered during the lectures during this study visit?	0	0	0	2	11	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	4.85
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries?	12	1	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	92.31% 7.69%
Q8.	Exposure to respective individual syllabuses and teaching methodologies during study visit will trigger the changes on your course?	13	0	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100% 0%
Q9.	What are the issues to be subject of the future cooperation between your Faculty of Law UoM, and Faculty of Law, University of Split?	•						
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	•						



