

Evaluation of study visit to Faculty of Law University of Split

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade	
		1	2	3	4	5			
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in the study visit were:	0	0	0	0	6	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	5.00	
Q2.	The relevancy of places visited in relation to study visit objectives was:	0	0	0	1	5	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.83	
Q3.	To what extent do you find study visit topics relevant to topics you are interested in?	0	0	1	2	3	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.33	
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in study tour?	0	0	0	3	3	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	4.50	
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics and accommodation of the program?	0	0	0	0	6	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	5.00	
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics covered during the lectures during this study visit?	0	0	1	1	4	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	4.50	
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries?	6	0	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100%	
Q8.	Exposure to respective individual syllabuses and teaching methodologies during study visit will trigger the changes on your course?	3	3	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	50%	50%
Q9.	What are the issues to be subject of the future cooperation between your Faculty of Law UoM, and Faculty of Law, University of Split?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joint research in harmonization of EU law • Joint publishing • Joint research in harmonization of EU law • Joint publishing and research • It could be organized that professors of both Faculties in cooperation with Judicial academies hold some lectures to young judges. 							
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No 							



