

**Evaluation of the training conducted by Mireille Hebing from Regent University on the topics
Higher Education Academy and Methodology in Teaching**

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade
		1	2	3	4	5		
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:	0	0	0	1	10	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	4.91
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:	0	0	1	3	7	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.55
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issues you are interested in?	0	0	2	0	9	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.55
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?	0	0	0	1	10	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	4.91
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?	0	0	0	0	10	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	5.00
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics prior to participation in this training?	0	0	2	4	4	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	3.82
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. and teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries via trainings?	11	0	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100%
Q8.	Exposure to this training will trigger the changes on your course?	11	0	0	0	0	1.-yes; 2.-no.	100%
Q9.	What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More subject oriented • Syllabus creation that is oriented to individual EU courses • Harmonization of EU consumer law • Criminal law 						
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great project 						



