

Evaluation of the training conducted by prof. dr Tomas Giegerich from Europa Institut University of Saarland

Question		Grade					Evaluation method	Average grade
		1	2	3	4	5		
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:	0	0	0	6	6	1. - not met; 5. - extremely well met.	4.50
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:	0	0	0	4	8	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.67
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issues you are interested in?	0	0	0	1	11	1. - not relevant; 5. - highly relevant.	4.92
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?	0	0	0	2	10	1. - fully unsatisfied; 5. - fully satisfied.	4.83
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?	0	0	0	1	11	1. - poor; 5. - excellent.	4.92
Q6.	How familiar were you with the topics prior to participation in this training?	0	0	0	3	9	1. - all new; 5. - very familiar.	4.75
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. and teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries via trainings?	9	3	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	75.00% 25.00%
Q8.	Exposure to this training will trigger the changes on your course?	8	4	-	-	-	1.-yes; 2.-no.	66.67% 33.33%
Q9.	What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 						
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 						



