





## Evaluation of the training conducted by prof. dr Marko Juric from Faculty of law University of Zagreb

Overtion	1		Grade				Evaluation method	Arramaca cunada	
Question			2	3	4	5	Evaluation method	Average grade	
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:	0	1	3	3	4	<ol> <li>1 not met;</li> <li>5 extremely well met.</li> </ol>	3.90	
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:	0	1	1	1	8	1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4.45	
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issues you are interested in?	0	0	1	3	6	1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4.50	
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?	0	3	1	1	6	<ol> <li>fully unsatisfied;</li> <li>fully satisfied.</li> </ol>	3.91	
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?	0	0	3	2	)	1 poor; 5 excellent.	4.20	
	How familiar were you with the topics prior to participation in this training?	0	1	3	3	4	1 all new; 5 very familiar.	3.91	
	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. and teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries via trainings?	10	1	-	-	-	1yes; 2no.	90.9% 9.1%	
Q8.	Exposure to this training will trigger the changes on your course?	9	2	1	-	-	1yes; 2no.	81.8% 18.2%	
Q9.	What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?	e topics to be subject of the future trainings?  • No comments							
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	• No comments							















