



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Evaluation of the training conducted by prof. dr Jadranka Dabović Anastasovska from Faculty of law University in Skoplje

Question				Grade				Evaluation method	Average grade	
Question			1	2	3	4	5	Evaluation method	nverage grade	
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:		0	0	0	2	14	1 not met; 5 extremely well met.	4,88	
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:			0	0	1	15	1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4,94	
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issues you are interested in?			0	0	3	12	1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4,8	
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?			0	1	5	11	1 fully unsatisfied; 5 fully satisfied.	4,29	
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?			0	0	2	14	1 poor; 5 excellent.	4,88	
Q6.	How familiar were you in this training?	w familiar were you with the topics prior to participation this training?		2	3	3	7	1 all new; 5 very familiar.	3,81	
Q7.	Do you consider it usefu skills, knowledge. and t	Il to continue transferring experie eaching methods within CABU	ence, FAL 14	2	-	-	-	1yes;	87,5%	
	partner countries via tra	inings?						2no.	12,5%	
Q8.	8. Exposure to this training will trigger the changes on your course?				-	-	-	1yes;	87,5%	
Q9.	What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?				Intelectual property lawEU law					
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise: • No comments									
	Q1 Q2			Q3					Q4	
-				1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5				■ 5 ■ 1 ■ 2	3 4 5	





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



