



## Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



## Evaluation of the training conducted by prof. dr Katarina Ristova Aasterud from Faculty of law University in Skoplje

Question	estion			Grade				Evaluation method	Average grade	
Q1.		icipating in this training were	1	2	3	4	0	1 not met;	4,57	
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in this training were:		0	0	1	4		5 extremely well met.	4,37	
Q2.	The relevancy of topics covered by training:			0	0	3		1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4,77	
Q3.	To what extent do you find training topics relevant to issue you are interested in?			0	1	6	8	1 not relevant; 5 highly relevant.	4,47	
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in the training?			0	2	4	7	1 fully unsatisfied; 5 fully satisfied.	4,38	
Q5.	How do you rate the logistics of the training?			0	0	5	9	1 poor; 5 excellent.	4,64	
Q6.	How familiar were you in this training?	by familiar were you with the topics prior to participation $0$ $0$ $1$ $4$ $9$ $1.$ - all new; this training?			4,57					
Q7.		Il to continue transferring experienc eaching methods within CABUFA inings?		1	-	-	-	1yes; 2no.	92,86 7,14	
Q8.	*	ng will trigger the changes on you	ur 9	5	-	-	-	1yes; 2no.	64,28%	
Q9.	<ul> <li>What are the topics to be subject of the future trainings?</li> <li>Implementation of the EU court practice</li> <li>EU exit procedure</li> </ul>							rt practice		
Q10.	Any additional commen	ts for the question 1-8 or otherwise:	No comments							
	Q1 Q2		Q3			3			Q4	
• 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5		<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>	<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>			3	4	• 5 • 1 • 2	<b>3 4 5</b>	





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



