





Evaluation of study visit to Faculty of Law University of Zagreb

Question				irac	le		Evaluation method	Axxamaca amada
		1	2	3	4	5		Average grade
Q1.	Your objectives for participating in the study visit were:			1	3	5	1 not met; 5 extremely well met.	4,44
Q2.	The relevancy of places visited in relation to study visit objectives was:	0	0	0	2	7	 not relevant; highly relevant. 	4,77
Q3.	To what extent do you find study visit topics relevant to topics you are interested in?	0	0	3	3	3	 not relevant; highly relevant. 	4,00
Q4.	Were you satisfied with your participation in study tour?	0	0	0	5	4	 fully unsatisfied; fully satisfied. 	4,44
	How do you rate the logistics and accommodation of the program?	0	0	0	4	5	1 poor; 5 excellent.	4,55
	How familiar were you with the topics covered during the lectures during this study visit?	0	0	1	6	2	1 all new; 5 very familiar.	4,11
Q7.	Do you consider it useful to continue transferring experience, skills, knowledge. teaching methods within CABUFAL partner countries?		0	-	-	-	1yes; 2no.	100% yes 0% no
	Exposure to respective individual syllabuses and teaching methodologies during study visit will trigger the changes on your course?		1	-	-	-	1yes; 2no.	89,89% yes 11,11% no
	What are the issues to be subject of the future cooperation between your Faculty of Law UoM, and Faculty of Law University of Ljubljana?		• No					
Q10.	Any additional comments for the question 1-8 or otherwise:			•	N	Jо		











